

THE INSPECTORS ALL NAMED

ONLY THE VACANCIES CAUSED BY DECESSIONS NOW TO BE FILLED.

TWO Returns to the West of Mandarins.—The Court Asked for an Adjournment Because Work was Finished. The Judges in a Hasty-Major Cooper's Hearing Postponed.

POLICE Commissioners MacLean, Morrison, French, and Wheeler were in their seats in the trial room of the Central Office, when the clock struck nine yesterday. Mr. George W. Boggs sat behind the Boardroom Commissioners, and was presently joined by Mr. Elton Root. Tammany politicians crowded the room. After roll call, Commissioner Wheeler opened the proceedings by offering a resolution that, as the Republicans and anti-Tammany inspectors of election have been appointed, the rest of the inspectors be selected from the list presented by Tammany. The motion was lost. Commissioners MacLean and Morrison voting in the negative. The latter, in explaining his vote, said: "I do not want to be considered as voting against the list, but as against any discrimination against those who are in affiliation with Tammany Hall; but who intend to vote for Gov. Robinson." Then Commissioner MacLean offered a resolution that the Council to the Corporation be requested to prepare the return of the Board to the writ of mandamus, and that as the writ commands the Board to select inspectors from the Tammany Hall Democracy, it is confined to, nor direct the Board what test to apply as to determine whether or not persons proposed as inspectors are members of said Tammany Hall, it is the desire of the Board to be directed by other what constitutes membership of the Tammany Hall Democracy, and whether or not the Board is at present under the orders of the Court to appoint as inspectors of the said 60,000 voters mentioned, or confined to that part of the 60,000 voters which intend to vote for John A. Blair. Commissioner MacLean next reported it, making some slight changes, defining the order of the Court. Committee on Rules and Standing Orders.

Mr. MacLean and Morrison meant to say good faith that they do not understand the writ of mandamus, and, therefore, as the Commissioners were for the purpose of obtaining information?

"I don't mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"One moment," Commissioner French interposed: "I nominated Mr. Tilley, and I will oppose him in his place, S. J. Joyce."

"Let us have the nomination first," Commissioner MacLean said.

The resignation was accepted, and the nomination of David Dudley Field was also accepted, and a substitute appointed. Commissioner MacLean, who had not been appointed, declared that he knew nothing of these allegations when the nomination was made.

Very truly yours, SAMUEL J. TILLEY.

"I move in this place,"—Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"One moment," Commissioner French interposed: "I nominated Mr. Tilley, and I will oppose him in his place, S. J. Joyce."

"Let us have the nomination first," Commissioner MacLean said.

The resignation was accepted, and the nomination of David Dudley Field was also accepted, and a substitute appointed. Commissioner MacLean, who had not been appointed, declared that he knew nothing of these allegations when the nomination was made.

There was ample time for Mr. Events to withdraw Bailey's nomination had he been disposed to bring a rogue to justice. But in an authorized statement to the press in regard to the charges made by Mosby against Bailey, Mr. Events declared that he knew nothing of these allegations when the nomination was made.

At best, this is a mere subterfuge, because he could still have withdrawn the nomination.

But the truth is that formal charges against Bailey had been made at the State Department by Mr. Davis, and at the Consulate at Shanghai before the nomination of Bailey was sent to the Senate. Wells also tendered the evidence to prove that Bailey was nominated for this position, and those who supported the nomination did so.

"It is a striking proof of the close intimacy between Seward and Bailey that the latter refused to resign, on their part, when Seward, the man who had recommended him, was recalled to Washington to testify by the House Committee that investigated the war crimes, and who was then nominated to the Senate.

That Mr. Events is definitely at all hazards to prevent any further exposure of the criminal conduct of the Seward Ring in China is shown in his speech to the Senate, in which he said:

"Then the Commissioners adjourned. Other vacancies are to be filled to-day.

Then the members of the Commission having met in the office of the Commissioners, having the General Term yesterday morning, the Judges declined to allow them a reasonable time, and so adjourned. And so, when the term began, and that after adjournment he went with Mr. Banks to the Police Central Office. The Commissioners were then in session, and they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE UNITED STATES, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

MY DEAR SIR.—At the time I saw you you told me that you were opposing the confirmation of Bailey to be Consul-General at Shanghai, and that all the said Commissioners and the Board of Trade were in opposition to his appointment.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not question your good faith, but I want it put on record."

"I don't mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not question your good faith, but I want it put on record."

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon. R. K. Loring.

I want to know if the resolution is offered in good faith. I have my mind very clear as to the meaning of this resolution, and I can't understand why it is offered in the Senate in the matter?" Commissioner French answered.

"Then you insert that because you question my good faith?"

"I do not mean to have my good faith questioned by you," said Commissioner MacLean's attorney.

"I certainly am in doubt as to the interpretation of the order. The Commission's resolution was not in session, and so they had 324 unopposed, and 102 were appointed, and only 324 unopposed. He had left Mr. Banks there to procure a return.

Then the Commissioners adjourned the following return on behalf of his clients:

CONSULATE OF THE NEW YORK THEATRE, 3 Oct., 1879.—Hon.